

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,920	11/04/2003	Brenda F. Baker	ISIS-5203	7522	
32650	7590 06/26/2006	EXAMINER VIVLEMORE, TRACY ANN			
	CK WASHBURN LLP				
	TTY PLACE - 46TH FLOO PHIA, PA 19103	К	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1635		
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/700,920		BAKER ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tracy Vivler	nore	1635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the o	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI assions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIST CFR 1.136(a). In no even cation. ory period will apply and will by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION I, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
	•	☐ This action is no	n-final.				
/	<i>'</i>						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)□	Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		sideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)							
7)							
8)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{\text{1-66}}$ are subject to restriction	and/or election requ	irement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	f(s)				•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-32 and 35-64, drawn to compositions comprising an oligomer having at least one C and U or T modified binding base, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 33, 34, 65 and 66, drawn to methods of modulating expression of a target nucleic acid with the composition of group I, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process could be performed with a materially different product, for example gene expression can be modulated with a small molecule agent.

Furthermore, examining invention I together with invention II would impose a serious search burden. In the instant case, prior art searches of compositions comprising C and U or T modified binding bases are not coextensive with prior art

Art Unit: 1635

searches of methods of modulating gene expression or treating or preventing disease using such compositions. Search of each of these inventions would require different key word searches of each compound and of each distinctive step of the method using divergent patent and non-patent literature databases. The different searches would then require subsequent in-depth analysis of the unrelated prior art literature, placing a serious burden on the Office in terms of both search and examination. As such, it would be burdensome to perform examination of inventions I and II together.

Species Election

Claims 1 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

- 1). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 11.
- 2). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claims 12, 19 and 26.
- 3). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 13.
- 4). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 14.
- 5). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 15.
- The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claims 16.
- 7). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 17.
- 8). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claims 18.
- 9). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 20.
- 10). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 21.
- 11). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 22 and 23.
- 12). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,920

Art Unit: 1635

- 13). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 25.
- 14). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 27.
- 15). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 28.
- 16). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 29.
- 17). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 30.
- 18). The C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 31.

It is noted that claim 35 is generic to similar species claims; the election of species from those recited above will be considered an election of the corresponding species claim that depends from claim 35.

The species are independent or distinct because they do not share a common structure essential to their utility. For example the C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 12 do not have a structure that is shared by the C and U or T modified binding bases recited in claim 30. Similarly, the substituent pattern of the structure in claim 21 is different from those of claim 24. Therefore, a search of the structures of one species claim would not be coextensive with a search of the other distinct species claims. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/700,920

Art Unit: 1635

.

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tracy Vivlemore whose telephone number is 571-272-

2914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tracy Vivlemore Examiner Art Unit 1635

TV May 8, 2006

JAMES SCHULTZ, PH.D.

Page 6